PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

		ACTION 88	see Form PCT/ISA/220 well as, where applicable, item 5 below.
GT1P042	C1X1 ipplication No.	International filing date (day/month/year)	
mornanonal a	рукацин ни.		(Lamest) Priority Date (day/month/year)
	08/071830	31/07/2008	01/08/2007
pplicant			
GT			
This Internat	ional search report has be	en prepared by this International Searching A	uthority and is transmitted to the applicant
		transmitted to the international Bureau.	
This internat	ional search report consis	ts of a total of sheets.	
	It is also accompanied	by a copy of each prior art document cited in	this report.
1. Basis of	I the report		
		he International search was carried out on the	basis of:
	X the internation	al application in the language in which it was t	filed
	a translation o	f the International application into	, which is the language
_	of a translation	furnished for the purposes of international se	earch (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b))
b. 🔲	This international sear authorized by or notific	ch report has been established taking into acc and to this Authority under Rule 91 (Rule 43.6b)	count the rectification of an obvious mistake (s(a)).
с. 🗌	With regard to any nue	electide and/or amino acid sequence disclo	sed in the International application, see Box No. I.
2.	Certain claims were	ound unsearchable (See Box No. II)	
3. X	Unity of invention is	lacking (see Box No III)	
4. With reg	ard to the title,		
x	the text is approved as	submitted by the applicant	
	the text has been esta	bilished by this Authority to read as follows:	
	*		
5. With reg	ard to the abstract,		
x	the text is approved as	submitted by the applicant	
	the text has been esta may, within one month	blished, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Aut from the date of malling of this international s	thority as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant search report, submit comments to this Authority
6. With reg	ard to the drawings,		
a. the f	igure of the drawings to b	e published with the abstract is Figure No2	
	X as suggested	by the applicant	
	as selected by	this Authority, because the applicant failed to	
	as selected by	this Authority, because this figure better char	acterizes the Invention
b. 🗌	none of the figures is t	o be published with the abstract	
			X 14

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No

			1017 0020007 07 2000				
A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER INV. G06F9/445							
According to	o International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classifica-	ation and IPC					
	SEARCHED						
G06F	Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)						
Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched							
Electronic d	ata base consulted during the infernational search (name of data bas	se and, where practica	I, search terms used)				
EPO-Internal, WPI Data							
C. DOCUM	ENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT						
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the rele	evant passages	Relevant to claim No.				
x	EP 0 491 585 A (IBM [US]) 24 June 1992 (1992-06-24) column 3, lines 9-21 column 3, line 35 - column 4, lin	ie 42	1-26				
Furth	ner documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.	X See patent far	mily annex.				
Special categories of cited documents A document defining the general state of the air which is not considered to be of particular relevance E entire document but proteined on or after the international filting data or priority data and not in confided with the application but discretification or discretification discretification or discretification discretification or discretification or discretification or discretification discretification or discretification discretification or discretification discretification or discretification discretification discretification discretification or discretification							
	Date of the actual completion of the International search 29 October 2008 20/04/2009						
	nailing address of the ISA/ European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentiaan 2 NL – 2280 Pt 91[pviik Tet. (-31-70) 340-2040, Fax (-31-70) 340-3016	Authorized officer					

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No. PCT/US2008/071830

Box No. II Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)
This international search report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:
Claims Nos.: because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
Claims Nos.: because they relate to parts of the International application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful International search can be carried out, specifically:
Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).
Box No. III Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)
This International Searching Authority found multiple Inventions in this international application, as follows:
see additional sheet
As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.
As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fees, this Authority did not invite payment of additional fees.
As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search reportcovers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.
No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: 1–26
Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest and, where applicable, the payment of a protest tea. The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest but the applicable protest
fee was not paid within the time limit specified in the invitation.
No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

FURTHER INFORMATION CONTINUED FROM PCT/ISA/ 210

This International Searching Authority found multiple (groups of) inventions in this international application, as follows:

1. claims: 1-26

1-st: Method and apparatus for loading application programs into memory for execution comprising: receiving, identifying and/or determining an application program that includes one or more application program components required to be stored in said memory for execution of said application program: determining whether each one of said one or more application program components of said application program are stored in said memory so that said application program can be executed by said computing system after said receiving, identifying and/or determining of said application program; and causing at least one application program component of said one or more application program components of said application program not to be loaded into said memory when said determining determines that said at least one application program component is stored into said memory and consequently available for execution of said application program.

2. claims: 27-50

2-nd invention: Method for generating differential application data comprising: receiving, identifying and/or determining first and second application programs, wherein said first and second application programs respectively include first and second individual application program components required for execution of said application programs in said computing environment: determining whether said first and second individual application program components have at least one common individual application program in common after said receiving, identifying and/or determining of said first and second application programs; generating application differential data for said first and/or second applications programs, wherein said application differential data effectively indicates that said first and second application programs have least one common individual application program components in common when said determining determines that said first and second individual application program components have at least one common individual application program in common; and store the application differential data for execution of said first and/or second applications programs.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International application No PCT/US2008/071830

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)	Publication date
EP 0491585	A	24-06-1992	JP US	4268927 A 5247681 A	24-09-1992 21-09-1993

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING ALITHORITY PCT To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE see form PCT/ISA/220 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION see form PCT/ISA/220 See paragraph 2 below International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/US2008/071830 31.07.2008 01.08.2007 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC INV. G06F9/445 Applicant IGT This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion ☐ Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date. whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220 For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA: Date of completion of Authorized Officer this opinion European Patent Office see form PCT/ISA/210 P.B 5818 Patentlaan 2 Dieben, Marc NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas

Telephone No. +31 70 340-4440

Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040

Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2008/071830

	Bo	x N	o. Basis of the opinion			
1.	Wit	h re	egard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:			
	×	th	e international application in the language in which it was filed			
		a pu	a translation of the international application into , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1 (b)).			
2.			This opinion has been established taking into account the rectification of an obvious mistake authorized by or notified to this Authority under Rule 91 (Rule 43bis.1(a))			
3.	Wit	th regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and cessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:				
	a. t	ype	of material:			
	-		a sequence listing			
	-		table(s) related to the sequence listing			
	b. f	p. format of material:				
	-		on paper			
	-		in electronic form			
	c. ti	ime	of filing/furnishing:			
	1		contained in the international application as filed.			
	-		filed together with the international application in electronic form.			
	-		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.			
١.		ha	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto s been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional ples is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as propriate, were furnished.			

5. Additional comments:

International application No. PCT/US2008/071830

Bo	x No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial dicability
The	e questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non fous), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of
	the entire international application
×	claims Nos. <u>19, 27-50</u>
bec	eause:
	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international search (specify):
⊠	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. 19 are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):
	see separate sheet
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed ($specify$):
×	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos. 27-50
	a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the sequence listing; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit:
	☐ furnish a sequence listing on paper complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.
	☐ furnish a sequence listing in electronic form complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.
	pay the required late furnishing fee for the furnishing of a sequence listing in response to an invitation under Rules 13ter.1(a) or (b).
	a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the tables related to the sequence listings; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit, furnish such tables in electronic form complying with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions, and such tables were not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in electronic form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.
	See Supplemental Box for further details

International application No. PCT/US2008/071830

	Во	k No. IV	Lack of unity of	inventio	1			
1.	×	In response to the invitation (Form PCT/ISA/206) to pay additional fees, the applicant has, within the applicable time limit:						
			paid additional fees					
			paid additional fees	under pr	otest and,	where applicable, the protest fee		
			paid additional fees	under pr	otest but th	ne applicable protest fee was not paid		
		⊠	not paid additional	lees				
2.		This Au	uthority found that th dicant to pay additio	e require nal fees.	ment of un	ity of invention is not complied with and chose not to invite		
3.	Thi	s Author	ity considers that the	e require	nent of uni	ty of invention in accordance with Rule 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is		
		complied	d with					
	×	not com	plied with for the follo	owing rea	asons:			
		see se	parate sheet					
4.	Cor	nseauen	tly, this report has b	een estat	olished in re	espect of the following parts of the international application:		
		all parts.	•			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
		•	relating to claims N	ne 1-26				
		ino punt	rotating to stating to					
		k No. V ustrial a	Reasoned staten	nent und	er Rule 43 explanation	bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or as supporting such statement		
1.	Sta	tement						
	Nov	elty (N)		Yes: No:	Claims Claims	5-15, 18, 22, 24-26 1-4, 16, 17, 20, 21, 23		
	inve	entive st	ep (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	<u>1-26</u>		
	Indi	ustrial ap	oplicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-26		
2.	Cita	ations an	d explanations					

•

see separate sheet

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2008/071830

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

Re Item IV.

The separate inventions of inventions are:

1-26

1-st: Method and apparatus for loading application programs into memory for execution comprising:

receiving, identifying and/or determining an application program that includes one or more application program components required to be stored in said memory for execution of said application program;

determining whether each one of said one or more application program components of said application program are stored in said memory so that said application program can be executed by said computing system after said receiving, identifying and/or determining of said application program; and

causing at least one application program component of said one or more application program components of said application program not to be loaded into said memory when said determining determines that said at least one application program component is stored into said memory and consequently available for execution of said application program.

27-50

2-nd invention: Method for generating differential application data comprising: receiving, identifying and/or determining first and second application programs, wherein said first and second application programs respectively include first and second individual application program components required for execution of said application programs in said computing environment;

determining whether said first and second individual application program components have at least one common individual application program in common after said receiving, identifying and/or determining of said first and second application programs; generating application differential data for said first and/or second applications programs, wherein said application differential data effectively indicates that said first and second application programs have least one common individual application program components in common when said determining determines that said first and second individual application program components have at least one common individual application program in common; and

store the application differential data for execution of said first and/or second applications programs.

They are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept (Rule 13.1 PCT) for the following reasons:

The feature: receiving an application program is not the same as the feature: receiving a first and a second application program. Hence, there are no common nor corresponding features.

Moreover, the two method solve different technical issues which is apparent from the usage specification of each of the defined methods: method for loading application programs into memory for execution and method for generating differential application data. Hence non-unity arises a priori.

Re Item V.

- 1 Reference is made to the following document: D1: EP 0 491 585, 24-06-1992
- 2 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of independent claims 1, 2, 20, 23 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.
- 2.1 The subject-matter of independent claim 1 is not new as D1 discloses: A computing system, comprising: memory (D1, col 4, lines 2-4, "memory"); one or more processors, (D1, col 4, lines 2-4, "computer", Hence, a processor) wherein said one or more processors are configured and / or operable for: receiving, identifying and / or determining an application program that includes one or more application program components required to be stored in said memory for execution of said application program (D1, col 4, lines 25-42, "spawning a second instance of a computer program", Hence, receiving, identifying and determining is implicit but unambiguously disclosed); determining whether each of said one or more application program components of said application program are stored in said memory so that said application program can be executed by said computing system after said receiving identifying and / or determining of said application program (D1, col 4, lines 25-42). establishing whether said second instance requires said software module which has been previously loaded into said private area by said first instance of said

computer program"); and

causing at least one application program component of said one or more application program components of said application program not to be loaded into said memory when said determining determines that said at least one application program component is stored into said memory and consequently available for execution of said application program (D1, col 4, lines 25-42, "upon establishing that said second instance requires said software module obtaining said address from said global environment area; and branching to said address", Hence, said software module is NOT reloaded).

- 2.2 The subject-matter of independent claims 2, 20, 23 is not new. The objections made to the subject-matter of claim 1 applies mutatis mutandis to the corresponding subject-matter of claims 2, 20, 23.
- 3 Dependent claims 3-18, 21-26 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step (Article 33(2) and (3) PCT).
- 3.1 Claims 3-4, 16, 17 and 21 In particular are claims 3, 4, 16, 17 and 21 not new in view of D1 (see passages cited).
- 3.2 Claims 12-14 and 22

The subject-matter of claim 12-14 and 22 is not unitary with the subject-matter of claim 3 however, said features are independent from the other method features and it is obvious to apply the system and the method of D1 in a computing system used for gaming.

3.3 Claims 5-11, 18 and 24-26

Claims 5-11 and 18 and 24-26 comprise features related to differential application data. Said claims attempt to define methods and corresponding systems for loading software application components based on differential application data. Document D1 discloses a global environment area which comprises the address of all the loaded modules. On the basis of this data the system and method of D1 decide which application components still have to be loaded. This is equivalent with the use of the differential application data. The actual structure and

implementation details of the data on which said decision is based is considered an implementation choice well within the reach of the skilled person, not involving an inventive step.

3.4 The unclarity of the subject-matter of claim 19 is such that meaningful assessment of novelty and inventive step is not possible at this stage.

Re Item VIII.

- 4... The application does not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT, because claims 1, 2, 20, 23, 24, 26 and 19 are not clear.
- 4.1 The subject-matter of independent claims 1, 2, 20, 23, 24 and 26 lack essential features.

It is clear from the definition of claim 2, "A method for loading a computer application program ..." that a step of loading application program components is essential for the method to work. At present the claim only defines the components which are not loaded, hence at present nothing is loaded. Therefore the present definition fails to define a method for loading. An application that is not loaded can not be executed.

Since independent claims 1, 2, 20, 23, 24 and 26 relate to one invention and none of these claims comprise said step of *loading application program components*, claims 1, 2, 20, 23, 24 and 26 do not meet the requirement following from Article 6 PCT taken in combination with Rule 6.3(b) PCT that any independent claim must contain all the technical features essential to the definition of the invention.

Only when the step of determining reveals that the whole application was already loaded the application can be successfully executed. This is defined in claims 24 and 26, however, this appears not to cover the invention as described in the description.

It is furthermore noted that claim 21 comprises the feature providing other application components for loading Hence, claim 21 does not lack essential features.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No. PCT/US2008/071830

4.2 Claim 19 is not clear. In that is not clear how said method step relates to the other method steps.